

Franco Vicariotto

VAGINOME

UNDERSTANDING THE VAGINAL MICROBIOME

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS



Table of Contents

1. Vaginal microbiota: how is it composed?	4
2. What are the functions of lactobacilli?	5
3. How does the vaginal microbiome change during the different stages of life?	6
4. How does lifestyle affect the vaginal microbiome?	7
5. What happens in case of bacterial vaginosis?	8
6. What happens to the microbiome during pregnancy? And what are the possible implications?	9
7. Some studies link the vaginal microbiota with idiopathic infertility: what is the rationale?	11
8. Natural vs. cesarean. What impact does the delivery method have on the unborn child's health?	12
9. Update on the intestinal-vaginal axis	13
10. What are the future prospects for probiotics in obstetrics and gynecology?	14
Reference bibliography	16

Publisher

Clorofilla Srl – editoria scientifica

Editor in Chief

Massimo Barberi

Art director

Stefania Maffoni

Editor

Lisa Trisciuglio

Digital strategist

Giovanni Rosario Dioretico

Clorofilla Srl – editoria scientifica

Via Podgora 12/A, 20122, Milano

Tel +39 02 39523784 | www.clorofillaweb.it

Copyright © 2019

All right reserved

Graphic design

Giovanni Rosario Dioretico

All content found on the microbiomepost.com, including: text, images, audio, or other formats were created for informational purposes only. The Content is not intended to be a substitute for professional medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment. Always seek the advice of your physician or other qualified health provider with any questions you may have regarding a medical condition. Never disregard professional medical advice or delay in seeking it because of something you have read on this Website. Microbiomepost.com does not recommend or endorse any specific tests, physicians, products, procedures, opinions, or other information that may be mentioned on the web site.

This instant book is intended for healthcare professionals only.

Franco Vicariotto, MD

Gynaecologist at Humanitas San Pio X, Milan, Italy.

1. Vaginal microbiota: how is it composed?

The different microorganisms that populate the vagina constitute a perfect "ecosystem". The balance between the different species, also known as eubiosis, is crucial both to ensure an adequate state of well-being and a good reproductive function and to protect from infectious gynecological diseases. A state of dysbiosis is reached when this balance is lost.

In healthy women, the vaginal microbiota is made up mainly of lactobacilli and can be divided into 5 "community state types" (CST), also called "vaginotypes", which are clusters dominated by a particular species.

- CST I: *Lactobacillus crispatus*
- CST II: *Lactobacillus gasseri*
- CST III: *Lactobacillus iners*
- CST IVA: *Gardnerella vaginalis* subgroup B
- CST IVC: *G. vaginalis* subgroup A
- CST IVD: *G. vaginalis* subgroup C
- CST V: *Lactobacillus jensenii*

CSTs were identified in a study carried out in 2011 by a group of researchers led by Jacques Ravel. They grouped the vaginal microbial communities after analyzing the 16S RNA profiles of healthy women of reproductive age.

The main lactobacilli of the vaginal microbiome (*L. crispatus*, *L. gasseri*, *L. iners*, *L. jensenii*) are present in 4 out of 5 clusters. The dominance of lactobacilli contributes to keeping both the pH and the Nugent score (derived from the microscopic analysis of a vaginal swab, used for the diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis) values low.

CST I, in particular, is the most protective type of vaginal microbiome. Conversely, CST IV, characterized by a low number of lactobacilli, seems to correlate with the development of vaginal and gynecological disorders. Three subgroups belong to this cluster: CST IVA, CST IVC and CST IVD.

In women with CST IVA the incidence of bacterial vaginosis seems to be modest, while in the other two it's higher.

The lower production of lactate and the resulting increase of the vaginal pH determine an higher risk of bacterial vaginosis.

Moreover, the absence of lactobacilli determines a state of instability that allows one or more anaerobic species to take over the others, causing vaginosis.

2. What are the functions of lactobacilli?

The protective function of lactobacilli is linked to their ability to:

- occupy the bacterial sites of adhesion;
- synthesize hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂);
- acidify the vaginal environment by metabolizing glycogen and producing lactic acid;
- produce bacteriocins, substances with bacteriostatic or bactericidal activity on other pathogenic microbial strains.

Furthermore, lactobacilli can form biofilms on the vaginal walls that limit the space and the nourishment for other pathogenic microorganisms.

However, the pathogenic microorganism can do the same with lactobacilli. In particular, the formation of pathogenic biofilms is one of the mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis of chronic or recurrent events. In fact, biofilms allow for a persistent planktonic growth that can elude the immune response and resist the usual antibiotics.

The organization in biofilms facilitates the development of virulence and resistance among the microbial colonies.

For example, the biofilms created by microorganisms such as *Gardnerella vaginalis* persist even after treatment with metradinazole, thus increasing the risk of recurrence.

Unlike antibiotics, the protective biofilms formed by lactobacilli can sometimes penetrate pathogenic biofilms. In case of vaginitis, probiotics could therefore work as a therapeutic intervention to prevent recurrences.

3. How does the vaginal microbiome change during the various stages of life?

During a woman's life, the vaginal microbiome continues to evolve based on the quantity of estrogens produced by the body and on the presence of lactobacilli.

Depending on the ethnicity and on the woman's hormonal status (adolescence, fertile age, pregnancy, menopause) the vaginal microbiome changes and differences between the clusters can also be observed.

The vaginal microbiome is never absolutely stable and the frequent variability can cause a change in the community state types (CST).

During childhood, the vaginal mucosa is populated by many microorganisms with the exception of lactobacilli. When the ovaries start producing estrogens, the mucosa is stimulated to produce glycogen and lactobacilli make their appearance. They convert glycogen into lactic acid, thus leading to a reduction of pH values and to an increase in the host's defenses.

A study led by Roxana J. Hickey, of the University of Idaho in the United States, has shown that, despite what one may think, 10-12 years old girls who have not had sexual intercourse or their first menstrual cycle yet, already have a vaginal microbiome very similar to that of women of childbearing age.

In particular, it appears that most of the girls show a dominance of lactobacilli, but also the presence of *Gardnerella* in some vaginal consortia.

Following the first menstruation, a doubling of the CST I frequency was detected, to the detriment of all the other clusters.

The menstrual cycle can also influence the composition of the vaginal microbiome by reducing its stability during the menstrual flow.

In particular, the abundance of *Gardnerella vaginalis* seems to increase in parallel with a decrease in *Lactobacillus* spp., with the exception of *L. iners*.

Menopause, on the other hand, is one of the most typical examples of a quantitative decrease in the proportion of lactobacilli. In this period, the richness of anaerobic taxa (*Bacteroides*, *Mobiluncus*) and of those implicated in bacterial vaginosis, such as *G. vaginalis*, increases. The absence of estrogens on the vaginal epithelium causes and maintains a real imbalance (dysbiosis) in the vaginal microbiome which leads to possible anaerobic and aerobic vaginitis.

In particular, as far as the CST clusters are concerned, it has been shown that:

- in healthy pre-menopausal women, the most frequent ones are CST I and III;
- during peri-menopause the most frequent ones are CST IVA and CST II;
- during menopause CST VAT are the most frequent ones.

4. How does lifestyle affect the vaginal microbiome?

Apart from the changes induced by a woman's hormonal state there are numerous other external factors that can lead to dysbiosis by modifying the composition of the vaginal microbiome. One of this is, for example, is intimate hygiene that, if excessive, can cause certain disorders. In particular, one should avoid vaginal lavages as they risk to further unbalance the vaginal microbiome.

As far as diet is concerned, the so-called "gut-urogenital axis" explains how any intestinal inflammation, even of low grade, can cause damage to the vagina and bladder, decreasing its defenses and increasing the concentration of pathogenic bacteria.

Indeed, the first nutraceutical therapy is a correct diet that reduces the intestinal inflammation and the risk of urogynecological disorders.

The vaginal microbiome can also be influenced by sexuality. This happens not only because of sexually transmitted infections, but also because of the imbalance that can follow sexual intercourse, as exemplified by the very high number of cases of bacterial vaginosis in American lesbians.

5. What happens in case of bacterial vaginosis?

Bacterial vaginosis is the most common vaginal disorder in women of childbearing age.

However, it is often underestimated or poorly treated despite the discomforts it causes, especially to those suffering from symptomatic and/or recurrent forms.

The characteristic symptoms of bacterial vaginosis are:

- the presence of more or less abundant white-greyish/milky discharges
- an intense and unpleasant odor, despite regular and careful intimate hygiene.

The bad smell tends to be more marked after sexual intercourse (especially if unprotected), during menstrual flow and after intimate hygiene. The inflammatory symptoms, such as burning, itching, pain during sexual intercourse and urination problems (pain, burning and feeling of having to urinate often) are absent or mild.

According to the Amsel diagnostic method, bacterial vaginosis is diagnosed if at least 3 out of 4 of the following conditions are met:

- 1) white-ish, homogeneous and non-viscous vaginal discharges;
- 2) cells of the vaginal mucosa with a dotted appearance due to the presence of bacteria adhering to the surface ("clue-cells" > 20%);
- 3) vaginal pH >4,5;
- 4) positivity to the fish odor test (called "fish test" or "whiff test"), consisting in the addition of a solution with a basic pH (10% of KOH, potassium hydroxide) to a sample of vaginal secretions: if intense fishy odor is released, it means that bacterial vaginosis is present.

Bacterial vaginosis is caused by a state of dysbiosis induced by the reduction of lactobacilli.

The loss of lactobacilli fractions favors the proliferation of other microorganisms, such as *Gardnerella vaginalis*.

A study published in *Frontiers in Bioscience* analyzed the vaginal microbial profile of 64 women showing symptoms of vaginal disorders of different degrees. An abundance of *Prevotella bivia*, which can favor the formation of biofilms by *Gardnerella vaginalis*, was found together with the presence of *Streptococcus anginosus*.

Indeed, bacterial vaginosis is a polymicrobial syndrome: it is not caused by a single microorganism, but rather by more bacterial species. They form a pathogenic biofilm that makes it easier to evade the host immune response and to harbor resistance to antibiotic therapies. This condition also favors the release of short chain fatty acids that can inhibit the chemotactic inflammatory responses of neutrophils and monocytes at the vaginal level.

The antibiotic therapy necessary to counteract the acute infection inhibits the excessive proliferation of pathogenic anaerobic bacteria. On the other hand, this causes an additional stress for the lactobacilli. In order for the cure to be effective in the long term, thus reducing the risk of recurrence, it is important to also provide treatments that favor the rapid recolonization by the lactobacilli, restoring the pH to acidity values capable of protecting the vaginal mucosa.

To this end the administration of probiotics may be useful.

6. What happens to the microbiome during pregnancy?

Pregnancy is a state of relative immune impairment due to a depression in the cell-mediated immunity.

A healthy vaginal microbiome is therefore an important defense especially during this phase of life, while its alteration can represent a risk for the development of disorders in both the pregnancy and the unborn child.

In a pregnant woman, a healthy vaginal microbiome is characterized by a low diversity and a dominance of lactobacilli. The increase of the estrogens' levels during the 9 months of pregnancy seems to stabilize the

vaginal microbiome, with the aim of increasing the relative abundance of lactobacilli and to keep the vaginal pH low.

The main risk associated with vaginal dysbiosis during pregnancy is that the microbiome is no longer able to protect against infections causing premature birth, one of the major causes of infant mortality in the world.

A study carried out on African-American women by researchers at Washington University suggests that changes in the vaginal microbiome of mothers who gave birth prematurely occurred between the first and second trimester. This suggests the importance of a balanced vaginal microbiome from the first months of pregnancy.

The alteration of the vaginal microbiome during pregnancy can also increase the risk of disorders in the unborn child, particularly allergies and eczema.

A study carried out on mice at the University of Maryland School of Medicine in collaboration with the University of Pennsylvania, and published in *Nature Neuroscience*, suggests that prenatal insults, such as maternal stress, have effects on the progeny that are partially mediated by the maternal vaginal microbiota.

In particular, stress during pregnancy can increase the risk of gastrointestinal disorders and affect the development of the nervous system in children.

Escherichia coli, *Streptococcus acidominimus*, *Peptococcaceae* and *Streptococcus thoraltensis* regulate immunity at the mucosa level and predispose the intestinal niche to colonization by other anaerobes that produce metabolites important for the development of the nervous system. A change in the levels of these species was detected in mice children of mothers subjected to stress who received a vaginal microbiome transplant from stressed mothers.

Diet and the risk of insulin resistance and obesity have a relationship with the vaginal microbiome too.

For all these reasons the supplementation of probiotics should be considered in case of risky pregnancies.

7. Some studies link the vaginal microbiota with idiopathic infertility. What is the rationale?

About 10% of infertility cases are classified as idiopathic infertility, also called “*sine causa*”, that is characterized by the lack of pathological anatomical or functional alterations: in men, sperm counts are normal and there are no disorders impeding conception, while in women the cycle is regular and no changes in the reproductive system are observed.

Several studies have analyzed the vaginal microbiota of women with idiopathic infertility. In these patients, who usually refer to the centers for assisted procreation, a state of dysbiosis of the cervico-vaginal microbiome, with a composition similar to that of bacterial vaginosis, is often observed.

One of the first studies on this phenomenon was carried out by the University of Trieste and the IRCCS Burlo Garofolo and published in the *Journal of Cellular Physiology*.

In particular, using next generation sequencing techniques, the researchers found a link between the presence of some bacterial species in the vagina and infertility. The presence of *Atopobium vaginae* in the vaginal microbiota, for example, was one of the main factors contributing to the failure of IVF and embryo transfer procedures in women with asymptomatic bacterial infections.

It also emerged that some species of lactobacilli can create a favorable environment for the pregnancy. Furthermore, the investigation has revealed that in patients with idiopathic infertility there is a relative abundance of some lactobacillus species, such as *L. gasseri*, while others, such as *L. iners* and *L. crispatus*, are less represented.

It is also known that vaginal dysbiosis can increase the risk of colonization by anaerobic bacteria such as *Atopobium*, *Prevotella*, *Veillonella*, *Ureaplasma* and *Escherichia*, whose presence “mimics” the micro-environment typical of bacterial vaginosis.

The analysis of the vaginal microbiome composition could become an important diagnostic tool, as it could lead to the identification of the causes of female infertility in cases so far classified as idiopathic. Moreover, it could be useful to start developing personalized therapeutic interventions based on probiotics that can restore the balance of the vaginal environment thus promoting conception.

8. Natural vs. cesarean. What impact does the delivery method have on the health of the unborn child?

The quality of life, the diet and some obstetric diseases all influence a woman's vaginal microbiome during pregnancy leading to either risks or benefits for the fetus.

The type of delivery is also important.

In fact, by passing through the vaginal canal, the child takes on the mother's vaginal microbiome, while with a caesarean section, by passing through the abdomen, the newborn comes into contact with the maternal cutaneous microbiome and the microorganisms in the operating theater environment.

The correlation between the mode of delivery and the composition and degree of maturation of the microbiome in early childhood has been confirmed in a study conducted at the University of Alberta, Edmonton (Canada), on 166 newborns. The researchers examined faecal samples at 3 months and one year of age. The results indicate that those born by caesarean section and exposed to antibiotics show a slowed Proteobacteria decrease compared to the physiological trend at three months.

Furthermore, the microbiomes of children born by caesarean section and fed with formula are the most stable over time and therefore less subject to the physiological maturation process, with the possible exception of

the *Rominococcus* species that increase especially in children born by cesarean section, fed with formula and exposed to antibiotics.

To safeguard the well-being of the newborn, it is therefore important both to reduce the rates of caesarean section and to keep the maternal vaginal microbiome balanced, so that the newborn acquires a healthy microbiome and good defenses with natural childbirth.

In case of caesarean section, the practice of “vaginal seeding” is not indicated. It involves incubating a gauze in the vaginal canal of the mother an hour before surgery, then rubbing it on the mouth, face and the rest of the body of the child after birth, with the aim of contributing to a more natural formation of the microbiome of the child. However, this is a potentially risky procedure due to the danger of infections and it is not recommended according to several international position statements.

9. What is the evidence on the axis intestine-vagina?

In addition to the intestine-brain axis, there is increasing evidence of a relationship between the intestine and the urogenital apparatus.

This is due both to the anatomical proximity and to the possibility that pathological metabolites typical of intestinal dysbiosis pass from the intestine to the vagina and bladder. This can cause inflammation and create an imbalance in the microbiome leading to the development of vaginitis.

The same happens in the bladder in case of recurrent cystitis caused by the abuse of antibiotics and by the passage of bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* from the intestine into the bladder.

Thus, for patients affected with gynecological and bladder disorders, gynecologists and urologists must not focus only on their own specialty, but also pay attention to the intestine.

10. What are the future prospects for using probiotics in obstetrics and gynecology?

In Asian countries, a very high percentage of women use probiotics throughout the pregnancy but pregnant women should only take some basic micronutrients (iron, folic acid, iodine). Other supplements, such as probiotics, should only be prescribed in specific cases, for example if there is a risk of premature birth, or in case of vaginal infections or to get to the moment of birth with a balanced microbiome to be transmitted to the unborn child during the passage in the vaginal canal.

The efficacy of probiotics, both oral and vaginal, to treat vaginitis caused by dysbiosis is very high and their use is now compared to that of a drug. The efficacy of probiotics does not depend exclusively on the possibility of increasing the presence of "good" bacteria, but also on their specificity or on the ability, demonstrated in vitro and in vivo, of some bacterial strains to restore the balance lost by the vaginal microbiome or to act as specific antibacterials.

However, probiotic-based medical devices will be banned from the EU market starting in May 2020. The new regulation on medical devices, *Medical Device Regulation* (EU) 2017/745, repeals the previous European directives and clearly states that products containing living organisms no longer fall within the realm of medical devices (Art.1, pt. 6).

New opportunities arise for probiotics: they could become full-blown drugs, but there are still several difficulties in demonstrating the dose-response relationship, although research on the human microbiome is yielding promising results.

Alternatively, one could insist on the oral use of probiotics, given their ability to actively modulate the composition of the microbiota, but we need to demonstrate both fecal and vaginal colonization.

References

- A. Abimiku, P. Villalba-Diebold, J. Dadik, et al. Risk factors associated with low CD4+ lymphocyte count among HIV-positive pregnant women in Nigeria. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2009; 9:227-231.
- A. Barberán, S.T. Bates, E.O. Casamayor, N. Fierer. Using network analysis to explore co-occurrence patterns in soil microbial communities. *ISME J.* 2012; 6: 343-351.
- A. McMillan, S. Rulisa, M. Sumarah, et al. A multi-platform metabolomics approach identifies novel biomarkers associated with bacterial diversity in the human vagina. *Sci Rep.* 2015; 5: 14174.
- A. Neri, G. Sabah, Z. Samra. Bacterial vaginosis in pregnancy treated with yoghurt. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 1993; 72: 17-19.
- A. Pieralli, M.G. Fallani, A. Becorpi, et al. Fractional CO₂ laser for vulvovaginal atrophy (VVA) dyspareunia relief in breast cancer survivors. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2016; 294: 841-846.
- A. Shade, J. Handelsman. Beyond the Venn diagram: the hunt for a core microbiome. *Environ Microbiol.* 2012; 14: 4-12.
- A. Shade, P.S. McManus, J. Handelsman. Unexpected diversity during community succession in the apple flower microbiome. *mBio* 2013; 4: 1-12.
- A. Swidsinski, W. Mendling, V. Loening-Baucke. An adherent *Gardnerella vaginalis* biofilm persists on the vaginal epithelium after standard therapy with oral metronidazole. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2008; 198: 97.
- A. Vahidnia, H. Tuin, H. Blikendaal, J. Spaargaren. Association of sexually transmitted infections, *Candida* species, gram- positive flora and perianal flora with bacterial vaginosis. *New Microbiol* 2015: 559-563.
- A.L. Byrd, Y. Belkaid, J.A. Segre. The human skin microbiome. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2018; 16: 143-155.

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Prediction and prevention of preterm birth. Practice Bulletin No. 130. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2012; 120: 964-973.

B. Foxman, A. Wen, U. Srinivasan, et al. Mycoplasma, bacterial vaginosis-associated bacteria BVAB3, race, and risk of preterm birth in a high-risk cohort. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2014; 210: 226.

B. Goncalves, C. Ferreira, C.T. Alves et al. Vulvovaginal candidiasis: Epidemiology, microbiology and risk factors. *Crit Rev Microbiol.* 2016; 42: 905-927.

B. Ma, L.J. Forney, J. Ravel. The vaginal microbiome: rethinking health and diseases. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 2013; 66: 371-389.

B. Walker. Biodiversity and ecological redundancy. *Conserv Biol.* 1992; 6: 18-23.

B.B. Oakley, C. Morales, J. Line, et al. The poultry-associated microbiome: network analysis and farm-to-fork characterizations. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e57190.

B.J. Callahan, D.B. DiGiulio, D.S. Aliaga Goltsman, et al. Replication and refinement of a vaginal microbial signature of preterm birth in two racially distinct cohorts of US women. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2017; 114: 9967-9971.

C. Gille, B. Böer, M. Marschal, et al. Effect of probiotics on vaginal health in pregnancy. EFFPRO, a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2016; 215: 608.

C. Hernandez-Rodriguez, R. Romero-Gonzalez, M. Albani-Campanario, R. Figueroa-Damian, N. Meraz-Cruz, C. Hernandez-Guerrero. Vaginal microbiota of healthy pregnant Mexican women is constituted by four lactobacillus species and several vaginosis-associated bacteria. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol.* 2011.

- C. Huttenhower, D. Gevers, R. Knight, et al. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome
Nature 2012; 486: 207-214.
- C. Lozupone, J.I. Stombaugh, J.I. Gordon, J.K. Jansson, R. Knight.
Diversity, stability and resilience of the human gut microbiota. *Nature*
2012; 489: 220-230.
- C. Manichanh, N. Borruel, F. Casellas, F. Guarner. The gut microbiota in
IBD. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2012; 9: 599-608.
- C. Mitchell, J. Mrazek
Bacterial vaginosis and the cervicovaginal
immune response. *Am J Reprod Immunol.* 2014; 71: 555-563.
- C.J. Miller, R.J. Shattock. Target cells in vaginal HIV transmission.
Microbes Infect. 2003; 5: 59-67.
- C.S. Dezzutti, B.A. Richardson, J.M. Mrazek, J. Tugetman, G.
Ramjee, T. Taha, et al. Mucosal *Escherichia coli* bactericidal activity and
immune mediators are associated with HIV-1 seroconversion in women
participating in the HPTN 035 trial. *J Infect Dis.* 2012; 206: 1931-1935.
- C. Rodríguez-Cerdeira, M.C. Gregorio, A. Molares-Vila, et al. Biofilms
and vulvovaginal candidiasis. *Colloids and Surfaces B Biointerfaces*
2019; 174: 110-125.
- D. MacIntyre, M. Chandiramani, Y.S. Lee, et al. The vaginal microbiome
during pregnancy and the postpartum period in a European
population. *Sci Rep.* 2015; 5: 8988.
- D.B. DiGiulio, B.J. Callahan, P.J. McMurdie, et al. Temporal and spatial
variation of the human microbiota during pregnancy. *Proc Natl Acad
Sci.* 2015; 112: 1-6.
- D.B. Nelson, A. Hanlon, I. Nachamkin, et al. Early pregnancy changes

in bacterial vaginosis-associated bacteria and preterm delivery. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* 2014; 28: 88-96.

D.J. Jamieson, A. Duerr, R.S. Klein, et al. Longitudinal analysis of bacterial vaginosis: findings from the HIV Epidemiology Research Study. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2001; 98: 656-663.

D.M. Chu, J. Ma, A.L. Prince, K.M. Antony, M.D. Seferovic, K.M. Aagaard. Maturation of the infant microbiome community structure and function across multiple body sites and in relation to mode of delivery. *Nat Med.* 2017; 23: 314-326.

D.T. Rubin. Curbing our enthusiasm for fecal transplantation in ulcerative colitis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2013; 108: 1631-1633.

E. van Nood, A. Vrieze, M. Nieuwdorp, et al. Duodenal infusion of donor feces for recurrent *Clostridium difficile*. *N Engl J Med.* 2013; 368: 407-415.

E. Zaura, B.J. Keijser, S.M. Huse, W. Crielaard. Defining the healthy "core microbiome" of oral microbial communities. *BMC Microbiol.* 2009; 9: 259.

E.C. Pielou Species-diversity and pattern-diversity in the study of ecological succession. *J Theor Biol.* 1966; 10: 370-383.

E.H. Koumans, M. Sternberg, C. Bruce, et al. The prevalence of bacterial vaginosis in the United States, 2001-2004; associations with symptoms, sexual behaviors, and reproductive health. *Sex Transm Dis.* 34 (2007), pp. 864-869

E.T. Bullmore, O. Sporns. Complex brain networks: graph theoretical analysis of structural and functional systems. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2009; 10: 186-198.

F. Sommer, J.M. Anderson, R. Bharti, J. Raes, P. Rosenstiel. The resilience of the intestinal microbiota influences health and disease. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2017; 15: 630-638.

F. Turroni, C. Peano, D. Pass, et al. Diversity of bifidobacteria within the infant gut microbiota. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e36957.

F. Yasmin, H.M. Tun, T.B. Konya et al. Cesarean Section, Formula Feeding, and Infant Antibiotic Exposure: Separate and Combined Impacts on Gut Microbial Changes in Later Infancy. *Front Pediatr.* 2017; 5: 200.

FDA Safety Communication. FDA warns against use of energy-based devices to perform vaginal "rejuvenation" or vaginal cosmetic procedures. 2018. Available at: <https://www.fda.gov/medicaldevices/safety/alertsandnotices/ucm615013.htm>. Accessed December 21, 2018.

Foster J a, S.M. Krone, L.J. Forney. Application of ecological network theory to the human microbiome. *Interdiscip Perspect Infect Dis.* 2008: 839501.

G. Campisciano, F. Florian, A. D'Eustacchio et al. Subclinical alteration of the cervical-vaginal microbiome in women with idiopathic infertility. *J Cell Physiol.* 2017; 232: 1681-1688.

G. Reid. Is bacterial vaginosis a disease? *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* 2018; 102: 553-558.

G. Wu, X. Feng, L. Stein. A human functional protein interaction network and its application to cancer data analysis. *Genome Biol.* 2010; 11: R53.

H. Borgdorff, E. Tsvitshivadze, R. Verhelst, et al. Lactobacillus-dominated cervicovaginal microbiota associated with reduced HIV/STI prevalence

and genital HIV viral load in African women. *ISME J.* 2014; 8: 1781-1793.

H. Grosskurth, F. Mosha, J. Todd, et al. Impact of improved treatment of sexually transmitted diseases on HIV infection in rural Tanzania: randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 1995; 346: 530-536.

H.C. Wiesenfeld, S.L. Hillier, M.A. Krohn, D.V. Landers, R.L. Sweet. Bacterial vaginosis is a strong predictor of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2003; 36: 663-668.

H.L. Martin, B. Richardson, P.M. Nyange, et al. Vaginal lactobacilli, microbial flora, and risk of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 and sexually transmitted disease acquisition. *J Infect Dis.* 1999; 180: 1863-1868.

J. Atashili, C. Poole, P.M. Ndumbe, A.A. Adimora, J.S. Smith. Bacterial vaginosis and HIV acquisition: a meta-analysis of published studies. *AIDS* 2008; 22: 1493-1501.

J. Bascompte. Networks in ecology. *Basic Appl Ecol.* 2007; 8: 485-490.

J. Gilbert, J. Steele, J.G. Caporaso, et al. Defining seasonal marine microbial community dynamics. *ISME J.* 2012; 6: 298-308.

J. Ravel, P. Gajer, Z. Abdo, et al. Vaginal microbiome of reproductive-age women. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2011; 108: 4680-4687.

J.A. Cohn, F.B. Hashemi, M. Camarca, et al. HIV-inducing factor in cervicovaginal secretions is associated with bacterial vaginosis in HIV-1-infected women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2005; 39: 340-346.

J.D. Sobel. Vaginitis in adult women. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am.* 1990; 17(4): 851-79.

J.H.H.M. van de Wijgert, C.S. Morrison, J. Brown, et al. Disentangling contributions of reproductive tract infections to HIV acquisition in African women. *Sex Transm Dis.* 2009; 36: 357-364.

J.M. Rodriguez, K. Murphy, C. Stanton et al. The composition of the gut microbiota throughout life, with an emphasis on early life. *Microb Ecol Health Dis.* 2015; 26: 26050.

J.P. Burton, G. Reid. Evaluation of the bacterial vaginal flora of 20 postmenopausal women by direct (Nugent score) and molecular (polymerase chain reaction and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis) techniques. *J Infect Dis.* 2002; 186: 1770-1780.

J.R. Marchesi, J. Ravel. The vocabulary of microbiome research: a proposal. *Microbiome* 2015; 3: 31.

K. Aagaard, K. Riehle, J. Ma et al. A metagenomic approach to characterization of the vaginal microbiome signature in pregnancy. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e36466.

K. Faust, J. Raes. Microbial interactions: from networks to models. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2012; 10: 538-550.

K. Makarova, A. Slesarev, Y. Wolf, et al. Comparative genomics of the lactic acid bacteria: *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2006; 42; 15611-15616.

K. Murphy, C.M. Mitchell. The interplay of host immunity, environment and the risk of bacterial vaginosis and associated reproductive health outcomes. *J Infect Dis.* 2016; 214: S29-S35.

K.A. Green, S.M. Zarek, W.H. Catherino. Gynecologic health and disease in relation to the microbiome of the female reproductive tract. *Fertil Steril.* 2015; 104: 1351-7.

K.A. Workowski, G.A. Bolan. Sexually transmitted diseases treatment

guidelines. *MMWR* 2015; 64: 1-137.

L. Hardy, N. Cerca, V. Jespers, M. Vaneechoutte, T. Crucitti. Bacterial biofilms in the vagina. *Research in Microbiology* 2017; 168: 865-874.

L.J.P. Liebenberg, L. Masson, K.B. Arnold, L.R. Mckinnon, L. Werner, E. Proctor, et al. Genital-systemic chemokine gradients and the risk of HIV acquisition in women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2017; 74: 318-325.

L.M. Kindinger, D.A. MacIntyre, Y.S. Lee, et al. Relationship between vaginal microbial dysbiosis, inflammation, and pregnancy outcomes in cervical cerclage. *Sci Transl Med.* 2016; 8: 350.

M. Aldunate, D. Srbinovski, A.C. Hearps, et al. Antimicrobial and immune modulatory effects of lactic acid and short chain fatty acids produced by vaginal microbiota associated with eubiosis and bacterial vaginosis. *Front Physiol.* 2015; 6: 1-23.

M. Arumugam, J. Raes, E. Pelletier, et al. Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. *Nature* 2011; 473: 174-180.

M. Newman. *Networks: an introduction.* Oxford University Press, New York (2010).

M.E. Falagas, G.I. Betsi, S. Athanasiou. Probiotics for the treatment of women with bacterial vaginosis. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2007; 13: 657-664.

M.E.J. Newman. Spread of epidemic disease on networks. *Phys Rev E.* 2002; 66. Article 016128.

M.J. Stout, Y. Zhou, K.M. Wylie, P.I. Tarr, G.A. Macones, M.G. Tuuli. Early pregnancy vaginal microbiome trends and preterm birth. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2017; 217: 356.

M.J. Wawer, N.K. Sewankambo, D. Serwadda, et al. Control of sexually transmitted diseases for AIDS prevention in Uganda: a randomised community trial. *Lancet* 1999; 353: 525-535.

N. Zerbinati, M. Serati, M. Origoni, et al. Microscopic and ultrastructural modifications of postmenopausal atrophic vaginal mucosa after fractional carbon dioxide laser treatment. *Lasers Med Sci.* 2015; 30: 429-436.

P. Brocklehurst, A. Gordon, E. Heatley, S.J. Milan. Antibiotics for treating bacterial vaginosis in pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013; 1: CD000262.

P. Ehrlich, A. Ehrlich. *Extinction. The causes and consequences of the disappearance of species.* Random House, New York (1981).

P. Ehrlich, B. Walker. Rivets and redundancy. *Bioscience* 1998; 48: 387-388.

P. Gajer, R.M. Brotman, G. Bai, et al. Temporal dynamics of the human vaginal microbiota. *Sci Transl Med.* 2012; 4: 132ra52.

P. Pruski, D.A. MacIntyre, H.V. Lewis, et al. Medical swab analysis using desorption electrospray ionization mass spectrometry: a noninvasive approach for mucosal diagnostics. *Anal Chem.* 2017; 89: 1540-1550.

P. Pruski, H.V. Lewis, Y.S. Lee, et al. Assessment of microbiota: host interactions at the vaginal mucosa interface. *Methods* 2018; 149: 74-84.

P.J. Turnbaugh, M. Hamady, T. Yatsunenko, et al. A core gut microbiome in obese and lean twins. *Nature* 2009; 457: 480-484.

R. Cruicksha, A. Sherman. The biology of the vagina in the human subject. *BJOG* 1934: 208-226.

R. Hummelen, J.M. Macklaim, J.E. Bisanz, et al. Vaginal microbiome and epithelial gene array in post-menopausal women with moderate to severe

dryness. PLoS One 2011; 6: e26602.

R. Romero, S.S. Hassan, P. Gajer, et al. The composition and stability of the vaginal microbiota of normal pregnant women is different from that of non-pregnant women. *Microbiome* 2014; 2: 4.

R. Romero, S.S. Hassan, P. Gajer, et al. The vaginal microbiota of pregnant women who subsequently have spontaneous preterm labor and delivery and those with a normal delivery at term. *Microbiome* 2014; 2: 18.

R.F. Lamont, J.D. Sobel, R. Akins, et al. The vaginal microbiome: new information about genital tract using molecular based techniques. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2011; 118: 533-549.

R.G. Brown, J.R. Marchesi, Y.S. Lee, et al. Vaginal dysbiosis increases risk of preterm fetal membrane rupture, neonatal sepsis and is exacerbated by erythromycin. *BMC Med.* 2018; 16: 9.

R.J. Hickey, X. Zhou, J.D. Pierson, J. Ravel, L.J. Forney. Understanding vaginal microbiome complexity from an ecological perspective. *Transl Res.* 2012; 160: 267-282.

R.J. Hickey, X. Zhou, M.L. Settles, et al. Vaginal microbiota of adolescent girls prior to the onset of menarche resemble those of reproductive-age women. *MBio* 2015; 6: 1-14.

R.M. Brotman, J. Ravel, P.M. Bavoil, P.E. Gravitt, K.G. Ghanem. Microbiome, sex hormones, and immune responses in the reproductive tract: challenges for vaccine development against sexually transmitted infections. *Vaccine.* 2014 ;32: 1543-52.

R.M. Brotman, M.D. Shardell, P. Gajer, et al. Association between the vaginal microbiota, menopause status, and signs of vulvovaginal atrophy. *Menopause* 2014; 21: 450-458.

R.M. Brotman, M.D. Shardell, P. Gajer, et al. Association between the vaginal microbiota, menopause status, and signs of vulvovaginal atrophy. *Menopause* 2018; 25: 1321- 1330.

S. Angelberger, W. Reinisch, A. Makristathis, et al. Temporal bacterial community dynamics vary among ulcerative colitis patients after fecal microbiota transplantation. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2013; 108: 1620-1630.

S. Athanasiou, E. Pitsouni, S. Antonopoulou, et al. The effect of microablative fractional CO2 laser on vaginal flora of postmenopausal women. *Climacteric* 2016; 19: 512-518.

S. McCormack, G. Ramjee, A. Kamali, et al. PRO2000 vaginal gel for prevention of HIV-1 infection (Microbicides Development Programme 301): a phase 3, randomised, double-blind, parallel-group trial. *Lancet* 2010; 376: 1329-1337.

S. Proulx, D. Promislow, P. Phillips. Network thinking in ecology and evolution. *Trends Ecol Evol.* 2005; 20: 345-353.

S. Skoler-Karppoff, G. Ramjee, K. Ahmed, et al. Efficacy of Carraguard for prevention of HIV infection in women in South Africa: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2008; 372: 1977-1987.

S. Srinivasan, C. Liu, C.M. Mitchell, et al. Temporal variability of human vaginal bacteria and relationship with bacterial vaginosis. *PLoS One* 2010; 5: e10197.

S.S. Abdool Karim, B.A. Richardson, G. Ramjee, et al. Safety and effectiveness of BufferGel and 0.5% PRO2000 gel for the prevention of HIV infection in women. *AIDS* 2011; 25: 957-966.

S.Y. Doerflinger, A.L. Throop, M.M. Herbst-Kralovetz. Bacteria in the vaginal microbiome alter the innate immune response and barrier properties of the

human vaginal epithelia in a species-specific manner. *J Infect Dis.* 2014; 209: 1989-1999.

T. Drell, T. Lillsaar, L. Tummeleht, et al. Characterization of the vaginal micro- and mycobiome in asymptomatic reproductive-age Estonian women. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e54379.

T. Elmqvist, C. Folke, M. Nyström, et al. Response diversity, ecosystem change, and resilience. *Front Ecol Environ.* 2003; 1: 488-494.

T.E. Taha, D.R. Hoover, G. Dallabetta, et al. Bacterial vaginosis and disturbances of vaginal flora: association with increased acquisition of HIV. *AIDS* 1998; 12: 1699-1706.

T.L. Chernes, L.A. Meyn, M.A. Krohn, J.G. Lurie, S.L. Hillier. Association between acquisition of herpes simplex virus type 2 in women and bacterial vaginosis. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2003; 37: 319-325.

W.C. Levine, V. Pope, A. Bhoomkar, et al. Increase in endocervical CD4 lymphocytes among women with nonulcerative sexually transmitted diseases. *J Infect Dis.* 1998; 177: 167-174.

X. Zhou, C.J. Brown, Z. Abdo, et al. Differences in the composition of vaginal microbial communities found in healthy Caucasian and black women. *ISME J.* 2007; 1: 121-133.

X. Zhou, M. Hansmann, C.C. Davis, et al. The vaginal bacterial communities of Japanese women resemble those of women in other racial groups. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol.* 2011; 58: 169-181.

Z. Kassam, C.H. Lee, Y. Yuan, R.H. Hunt. Fecal microbiota transplantation for *Clostridium difficile* infection: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2013; 108: 500-508.



The logo for MicrobiomePost.com features the text "MicrobiomePost.com" in a bold, dark purple font. A thin white horizontal line is positioned directly beneath the text. The entire logo is centered within a white rectangular box that stands out against the background.

MicrobiomePost.com

Exploring research, inspiring clinical practice